


Native Habitats Tasman Ecological Assessment Report

Site:	MO 92 Rough Is (Greenslade Park) shag colony
Landowners/Occupiers:	TDC


Ecological District:	Motueka
Grid Ref:	E2521506 N5991612
Surveyed By:	Michael North
Date:	22 May 2012
Survey Time:	½ hr



THE SETTING – MOTUEKA ECOLOGICAL DISTRICT (ED)

Location and Physical Description

The Motueka Ecological District is small and in two parts; the western one where the Motueka River flows into Tasman Bay and the eastern where the Wairoa and Wai-iti rivers come together to form the Waimea River before entering the bay. It comprises lowland and coastal alluvial plains and remnants of the Moutere Gravels. It has a coast of fertile deltas, large estuaries, sand islands and bluffs. Soils from the Moutere Gravels are clayey and not very fertile, those on stony terraces and sand are shallow and prone to drought, and alluvial soils are generally well drained and fertile. The climate is sunny and sheltered, with very warm summers and mild winters. The land is mostly in private ownership and is used for pastoral farming, forestry, horticulture, residential and commercial settlement. Tasman District Council has considerable landholdings in this District.



Ecosystem Types Originally Present

Formerly, the Ecological District, apart from the waterways, would have been almost entirely covered in forest. The alluvial plains and terraces supported towering podocarp forests of totara, matai and kahikatea. On the low hills was mixed forest of black beech, hard beech, rimu, totara, kamahi, titoki and tawa. Along the coastal bluffs and fringing the estuaries, ngaio, cabbage tree, kowhai and totara would have been common. The estuaries were alive with wetland birds, fish and invertebrates. They had vegetation sequences grading from eelgrass and saline turf into rushes, sedges, harakeke (lowland flax) and shrubs (mainly saltmarsh ribbonwood, mingimingi and

manuka), and finally into forest. Freshwater wetlands would have included fertile lowland swamps with kahikatea, harakeke, cabbage tree, tussock sedge (*Carex secta*) and raupo. Rivers and streams, including riparian ecosystems (trees, shrubs, flaxes, toetoe, etc) and some braided river beds, would have made up a significant portion of the District. The table below gives estimates of the extent of these original ecosystems.

Existing Ecosystems

Most of the natural terrestrial ecosystems have been lost. What remains is mostly in small fragments of forest and freshwater wetland. The estuaries are still surprisingly intact, although their fringing vegetation sequences have largely gone. The table below gives estimates of the proportions of the original ecosystems that remain.

Degree of Protection

There is little protected land within the Ecological District. However, there are significant remnants protected in reserves and covenants. These include important tall forest remnants at Motueka, Brightwater and Wakefield, kanuka forest on alluvial flats at Brightwater, estuarine shores and sand islands. It also includes some small freshwater wetlands and hillslope forest patches. The table below gives estimates of how much of the original and remaining ecosystems have formal protection.

Indigenous Ecosystems – Motueka Ecological District				
Ecosystem type	Original extent (% of ED)	Proportion of original extent remaining (%)	Proportion of original extent / remaining area protected (%)	
			Original	Remaining
Coastal sand dune and flat	10	<5	<5	100
Estuarine wetland	10	30	12?	40?
Fertile lowland swamp and pond	3	<1	<1	40?
Infertile peat bog	—	—	—	—
Upland tarn	—	—	—	—
Lake	—	—	—	—
River, stream and riparian	3	50	5?	10?
Lowland podocarp forest	50	<1	<1	90
Lowland broadleaved forest	5	<1	<1	90
Lowland mixed forest	12	<1	<1	90
Lowland beech forest	5	<1	<1	90
Upland beech forest	—	—	—	—
Subalpine forest	—	—	—	—
Lowland shrubland	2	<1	<1	50
Upland/subalpine shrubland	—	—	—	—
Frost flat communities	—	—	—	—
Tussock grassland	—	—	—	—
Alpine herbfield and fellfield	—	—	—	—

SITE DESCRIPTION

Location, Geology, Hydrology

The site lies on the eastern margins of Rough Island, a barrier island of the Waimea Inlet, beside intertidal saltmarsh and channels.

Habitat

The site constitutes a stand of mature radiata pines.


Fauna

A shag breeding colony has been known here for some time with counts by Willie Cook (pers.comm.) over the 2011 spring breeding season being 23 active nests of pied shag and 3 active nests of little shag. Black shag also nest in low numbers.

This is perhaps the second largest breeding colony for pied shag in the Motueka Ecological District and Tasman Bay (Haulashore Island within NCC is probably larger). Only eight breeding colonies of pied shag are known in Tasman Bay outside Abel Tasman National Park (no data known to the author). [Note that pied shag nest year round with peaks in spring and autumn, with multiple sequential nestings by different pairs in the same nest. Therefore active nest number does not equate to the number of pairs that use the colony].

The site is one of only two known coastal nesting colonies for black shag within the Moutere and Motueka Ecological Districts.

Pied shag are nationally listed as 'threatened, nationally vulnerable' with 500-10000 breeding pairs estimated in 2012 (Mike Bell). Little shag and black shag are listed as 'at risk, naturally uncommon'



Known breeding colonies in Nelson/Marlborough as mapped by Mike Bell 2012

Weed and Animal Pests

Not applicable.

Other Threats

No threats were noted to the site itself.

General Condition & Other Comments

The pines which birds frequent are succumbing to foliage burn from guano and are in decline. There are immediately adjoining trees that the colony could move to.

Landscape/Historic Values

Not applicable.

ASSESSMENT OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

The following criteria are assessed:

Representativeness: *How representative is the site of the original vegetation? How representative is the site of what remains?*

Rarity and Distinctiveness: *Are there rare species or communities? Are there any features that make the site stand out locally, regionally or nationally for reasons not otherwise addressed?*

Diversity and Pattern: *Is there a notable range of species and habitats? To what degree is there complexity in this ie patterns and gradients?*

Size/shape: *How large and compact is the site?*

Ecological context: *How well connected is the site to other natural areas, to what extent does the site buffer and is buffered by adjoining areas, and what critical resources to mobile species does it provide?*

Sustainability: *How well is the site able to sustain itself without intervention?*

Site Significance

The technical assessment of significance is tabled in the Appendix.

This site is significant for the following reasons:

With high rarity values the site qualifies for significance.

Management Issues and Suggestions

No issues were noted. The colony is not seemingly disturbed by recreational activity below and around the trees.



Two views of the site





A pied shag tangled in fishing line hangs dead from one of the trees; discarded or lost lines constitute a constant threat to all seabirds

APPENDIX

Site Significance

Each site is ranked according to the highest ranking vegetation community or habitat that occurs within it. However, a site will be divided into more than one area for assessment purposes if they vary markedly in character, size or condition. Some examples are:

- (a) a core area of vegetation (say, a podocarp gully remnant) is surrounded by/adjoins a much larger area of markedly different vegetation (say, kanuka scrub);
- (b) a core area of vegetation has *markedly* different ecological values to the surrounding/adjacent vegetation;
- (c) where artificially abrupt ecological boundaries occur between an area of primary vegetation and a surrounding/adjacent area of secondary vegetation.

The above does not apply if such adjoining vegetation forms only a small part of the total site, or if such vegetation forms a critical buffer to the core area.

Where such division of a site into two or more separately assessed areas occurs, such adjoining areas will also be considered in their buffering/connectivity roles to one another.

This site was assessed as one unit as the above considerations did not indicate the need to assess communities separately.

Note that the secondary and additional criteria cannot feasibly be scored as the habitat comprises exotic vegetation, and the fauna are highly mobile birds.

Significance Evaluation		
	Score	Example/Explanation
Primary Criteria		
Representativeness		
	L	
Rarity and Distinctiveness		
An important breeding, spawning, resting, roosting or foraging site of at least ecological district importance for an indigenous animal species	H	Breeding pied shag, black shag and little shag
Presence of a 'threatened' species	H	Pied shag are 'threatened, nationally vulnerable'
Diversity and Pattern		
	L	
Secondary Criteria		
Ecological Context (highest score)		
Connectivity		
	n/a	
Buffering to		
	n/a	
Provision of critical resources to mobile fauna		
The site provides seasonally important resources for indigenous mobile animal species and these species are present in the locality even though they may not have been observed at the site.	n/a	
Size and Shape		

Significance Evaluation		
	Score	Example/Explanation
	n/a	
Other Criterion		
Sustainability (average score)		
Physical and proximal characteristics		
Size, shape, buffering and connectivity provide for a ***** overall degree of ecological resilience.	n/a	Size Shape Buffering Connectivity
Inherent fragility/robustness		
Indigenous communities are inherently resilient or fragile.	n/a	.
Threats (low score = high threat; lowest score taken)		
Ecological impacts of grazing, surrounding land management, weeds and pests*	n/a	Grazing Surroundings Weeds Pests

* observed pest impacts only

NB where scores are averaged, the score must reach or exceed a particular score for it to apply

Summary of Scores	Criterion	Ecological District Ranking
Primary Criteria	Representativeness	L
	Rarity	H
	Diversity and Pattern	L
Secondary Criteria	Ecological Context	n/a
	Size/Shape	n/a
Additional Criteria	Sustainability	n/a

H = High MH = Medium-High M = Medium ML = Medium-Low L = Low

Summation of Scores to Determine Significance

If a site scores at least as highly as the combinations of primary and secondary scores set out below, it is deemed significant for the purposes of this assessment.

Primary Criteria		Secondary Criteria	
Any of the three primary criteria with a score at least as high as listed		Any of the two secondary criteria with a score at least as high as listed	
		Plus	
	H		—
	MH x 2		—
	MH + M		—
	MH	+	MH
	M x 2	+	H
	M x 2	+	MH x 2
	M	+	H + MH

H = High MH = Medium-High M = Medium


Is this site significant under the TDC assessment criteria? **YES**

Land Environments of New Zealand (LENZ)

LENZ is a national classification system based on combinations of soil characteristics, climate and landform. These three factors combined are correlated to the distribution of native ecosystems and species.

When LENZ is coupled with vegetation cover information it is possible to identify those parts of the country (and those Land Environments) which have lost most of their indigenous cover. These tend to be fertile, flatter areas in coastal and lowland zones as shown in the map below for Tasman District.

Further information on the LENZ framework can be found at www.landcareresearch.co.nz/databases/lenz



National Priorities for Protecting Biodiversity on Private Land

Four national priorities for biodiversity protection were set in 2007 by the Ministry for the Environment and Department of Conservation.

National Priorities	Does this Site Qualify?
1 Indigenous vegetation associated with land environments (ie LENZ) that have 20 percent or less remaining in indigenous cover. This includes those areas colored in red and orange on the map above.	Yes
2 Indigenous vegetation associated with sand dunes and wetlands; ecosystem types that have become uncommon due to human activity	No
3 Indigenous vegetation associated with 'naturally rare' terrestrial ecosystem types not already covered by priorities 1 and 2 (eg limestone scree, coastal rock stacks)	No
4 Habitats of nationally 'threatened' or 'at risk, declining' indigenous species	Yes

Further information can be found at -

www.biodiversity.govt.nz/pdfs/protecting-our-places-brochure.pdf

Significance of LENZ and National Priorities

What does it mean if your site falls within the highly depleted LENZ environments, or falls within one or more of the four National Priorities?

These frameworks have been included in this report to put deeper ecological context to the site. They are simply another means of gauging ecological value. This information is useful in assessing the relative value of sites within Tasman District when prioritising funding assistance. They otherwise have no immediate consequence for the landowner unless the area of indigenous vegetation is intended to be cleared, in which case this information would be part of the bigger picture of value that the consenting authority would have to take into account if a consent was required.



Top of the South Maps **MO 92**

0 12 24 36 48 Feet