

Before an Independent Commissioner appointed by Tasman District Council

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act 1991

And

In the Matter of Tasman Resource Management Plan

And

In the Matter of Proposed Private Plan Change 62 – Richmond North Commercial Zone

Memorandum of Counsel on behalf of
Progressive Enterprises Limited
regarding timetabling following hearing

Dated: 11 November 2016

Introduction

1. This memorandum of counsel is filed on behalf of Progressive Enterprises Limited (**Proponent**) in relation to the Commissioner's Minute 8 and the request for the Proponent to propose a timetable for addressing the matters outlined in paragraph 4 of Minute 8.

Proposed Timetable

2. The Proponent proposes the following timetable:

Action	Comment	Proposed Date
Proponent files supplementary evidence on potential flow on economic impacts on Stoke Centre		18 November 2016
Proponent files evidence on the most appropriate method to address transportation effects	Proponent transportation and planning experts will work with respective experts for Council and submitters in finalising approach (and if agreement not reached state that)	18 November 2016
Economists to provide summary in one table of direct and indirect impacts on Richmond and Stoke Centres		25 November 2016

Planners to agree to further amendments to the proposal (including incorporating agreed landscape provisions) and to clarify any remaining areas not agreed upon	Intention is to file further revised provisions taking into account any agreements in relation to the above	9 December 2016
Commissioner to conduct site visits	These can be arranged at a convenient time	Commissioner to confirm in due course
Further exchange of information/evidence if required	To be confirmed if required following process outlined above	Commissioner to confirm in due course
Reconvened Hearing (if necessary)	As above	Commissioner to confirm in due course
Closing submissions by Proponent		To be confirmed in due course

3. Counsel proposes to file an additional memorandum with the Commissioner (through Ms Meadows and served on submitters) at the time of filing the Planners' further amendments to summarise the status of discussions and outline any suggested further work required.
4. The Proponent respectfully requests the Commissioner to confirm whether he is agreeable to the above timetable.



A C Dewar / J M G Leckie
Counsel for Progressive Enterprises Limited